Université PANTHÉON - ASSAS (PARIS II)



Droit - Economie - Sciences Sociales

Session:

Septembre 2019

Année d'étude :

Troisième année de Licence Droit

Discipline:

Anglais juridique

Examen:

Second semestre (UEC2 7396)

Durée:

1h30

Titulaires du cours :

Mme BERNES-CABANNE, Mme JACOB

Les documents et les appareils électroniques ne sont pas autorisés.

- I. Complete the following sentences, adding between <u>15 and 30 words</u>. Do not start a new sentence. (20 points)
- 1. Unlike small claims...
- 2. Until recently, civil partnerships...
- 3. Provided someone has received less favourable treatment...
- 4. Unlike the gestational mother...
- 5. Pursuant to Article 8...

II. Read the text. Answer each of the questions in your own words (approximately ten lines/100 words for each question). DO NOT QUOTE FROM THE TEXT. (30 points)

"Armed police fear impact of new colour blindness rules"

Vikram Dodd, Police and crime correspondent, The Guardian, September 2, 2018

Hundreds of firearms officers may have to lay down their weapons because of new rules designed to detect those who have problems with colour vision, says the organisation representing them. One officer is already taking court action over the new tests for colour vision deficiency (CVD), or colour blindness. Officers at a number of forces across England and Wales are affected.

The Police Federation says the changes could be discriminatory on grounds of disability and gender, because most of the 6,459 armed officers in England and Wales are male, and men are much more likely than women to suffer from CVD. Supporters of the tougher rules say officers who, for instance, cannot distinguish the colour red, could have difficulty using electric stun guns, which, like some firearms, use red dots to help hit the target.

Critics of the rules say colour vision deficiency makes a negligible, if any, difference to most officers' ability to do the job. They also warn that the loss of experienced armed officers comes at a time when police chiefs and the government want more armed officers to combat a severe terrorist threat.

Ché Donald, vice-chair of the Police Federation, which represents 122,000 officers across England and Wales, said: "The test is intended to screen out CVD sufferers, yet we know from extensive research studies that the tests used are unreliable and do not achieve the objectives set out by the college and are not a proportionate means to achieving a legitimate aim.

"Previously, officers were required to pass on one of two CVD tests; they now need to pass on both. Retrospective testing is also taking place for those who previously undertook these tests and identified with mild CVD."

Firearms officers are volunteers, so those affected are expected to return to other duties if deemed unsuitable to carry a gun. In response to a string of terrorist attacks in Europe the number of armed officers in England and Wales was boosted, though it has been a struggle for police chiefs to achieve the rise in numbers.

Donald, himself trained as a firearms officer, said: "This single handedly could destroy the uplift. Hundreds will be affected. This is because CVD is mainly prevalent in the male population and firearms units are still predominantly composed of male officers and CVD affects one in 12 men (8%) and one in 200 women. Firearms officers are absolutely distraught." (...)

The change was brought in by the College of Policing, which sets rules and standards across police forces in England and Wales.

The college's spokesperson said: "The key points which the standards establish are the ability to identify a subject based on a description, of which colour could be a significant factor, particularly where this may lead to pre-emptive use of force or officers using lethal force or firearms, and the ability to operate firearms with a red dot sight system.

"However, the testing which officers have to undergo if they fail screening, was updated last year. The changes do not exclude all officers with colour vision deficiency but only those below a certain threshold. The standards were reviewed based on the role, equipment and expert technical and medical advice on colour vision deficiencies."

In Essex, a medium-sized force, there could be up to 10 armed officers affected, Donald said.

¹ To remove

The force said: "Essex police is aware of the change to the colour vision standards set by the College of Policing and is working with our occupational health department, the Police Federation and the officers themselves to ensure that we manage any implementation appropriately.

"It is a priority for Essex police that no one is discriminated against and that the service we provide is not affected. Our firearms officers are rigorously assessed to ensure they are occupationally and operationally competent before being declared fit for duty."

Testing for colour vision among police officers has advanced significantly. In the 1970s the test involved showing recruits toy cars and asking them to identify what colour they were.

The former Met firearms officer Tony Long, who shot and killed three suspects during his 25-year career carrying a gun, suffered from colour vision deficiency. He said: "A lot of people are up in arms about it because it is nonsense. It's some pen-pusher finding problems that don't exist. You don't shoot someone based on the colour of their jacket. You shoot someone based on their actions." (...)

- 1. What is CVD? What new testing has been set up and why?
- 2. Why do some say the new policy is discriminatory and could have adverse effects?
- 3. Which do you think should matter more, strict test standards or non-discrimination?

III. Choose <u>one</u> of the following topics and write an essay in approximately 250 words (+/-10%). (50 points)

- 1. What do you think of the requirements to become a lay magistrate?
- 2. Mary was diagnosed with a mental illness when she was a teenager. As a result, she has to take some pills on a daily basis. One day she forgets her pills, and starts hearing voices that tell her to attack someone. She goes out on the street, pushes a passer-by, Vanessa, who falls down the stairs to the underground station.

Vanessa is taken to the hospital and falls into a deep coma. When she wakes up after 4 years and 11 months, her husband tells her that he met someone new and that he wants to divorce her and remarry. She then waits two months before telling him she does not want to divorce.

What legal proceedings could arise out of this situation? Justify your answer. What are their likely outcomes?